CASE REPORT

Open Access

Radial artery perforation: when a friend turns against you



Mostafa Elwany^{1,2}, Roberto Adriano Latini¹, Gaetano Di Palma³ and Bernardo Cortese^{3,4*}

Abstract

Background: Radial artery approach is currently the most common access site for coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention. It rarely results in complications, improves patient comfort, and reduces the duration of hospitalization.

Case presentation: A 91-year-old woman presented to our institution with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). The right radial access was chosen for the performance of percutaneous coronary intervention. After the introduction of 6 F sheath, there was difficulty in the advancement of 0.035 J wire that was exchanged with a Terumo hydrophilic wire. After the procedure and before sheath removal, radial arteriography was done and revealed perforation. Protamine sulfate was administered and prolonged balloon inflation was attempted but failed to seal the perforation, so a 7-F-long vascular sheath was inserted to internally tamponade the vessel, and the patient was sent to the coronary care unit for monitoring. Over the next 3 days, serial radial angiographies were done revealing the persistence of the perforation, and on the fourth day, angiography revealed multiple thrombi. Thrombus aspiration was done using Pronto V4 extraction catheter (Vascular Solutions, USA) and was followed by the deployment of a covered stent. The stent was dislodged and successfully snared. Finally, the perforation was sealed spontaneously and there were no signs of intra-arterial thrombi.

Conclusion: Despite the very low complication rate of radial approach, the interventional cardiologist should be aware of any possible complication, and how to avoid or, eventually, manage it.

Keywords: Radial artery perforation

Background

Radial artery approach is currently the most common access site for coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) since it results in fewer local vascular complications than transfemoral approach. This approach rarely results in complications, improves patient comfort, and reduces the duration of hospitalization [1].

Case presentation

A 91-year-old woman presented to our institution with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). The right radial access was chosen for the performance of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). After the introduction of 6 F sheath, there was difficulty in the

* Correspondence: bcortese@gmail.com

³Interventional Cardiology, San Carlo Clinic, Milano, Italy

⁴Fondazione G. Monasterio CNR-Regione Toscana, Pisa, Massa, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article advancement of 0.035 J wire that was exchanged with a Terumo hydrophilic wire (0.035×180) which was advanced easily to the aortic root. Coronary angiography was done and revealed tortuous coronary arteries without significant lesions. Before sheath removal, radial arteriography was done and revealed perforation (Fig. 1a). Protamine sulfate (1 mg per 100 USP units of heparin) was administered intravenously to reverse the dose of heparin (70 U/kg), and APTT was monitored 15 min after the dose. This was followed by prolonged balloon inflation 2.5/3.0 (Fig. 1b). The perforation was not sealed so a 7-F-long vascular sheath was inserted to internally tamponade the vessel, and the patient was sent to the coronary care unit for monitoring of the forearm hematoma and the distal pulses (Fig. 1c). Over the next 3 days, serial radial angiographies were done which revealed the persistence of the perforation. After 4 days, angiography revealed multiple thrombi (Fig. 1d), and thrombus aspiration was done using Pronto V4



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



Fig. 1 a Angiogram of the radial artery showing radial artery perforation. \mathbf{D} Prolonged balloon initiation. \mathbf{C} A /-F-long vascular sheath was inserted to internally tamponade the vessel. \mathbf{d} After 4 days, angiography revealed multiple thrombi. \mathbf{e} Successful sharing of the covered stent. \mathbf{f} Final result after the perforation was sealed

extraction catheter (Vascular Solutions, USA) and was followed by the implantation of a covered stent, which was dislodged, mostly secondary to under expansion, following another run of thrombus aspiration. The stent was successfully snared (Fig. 1e). Finally, the perforation was sealed spontaneously and there were no signs of intra-arterial thrombi (Fig. 1f).

Discussion

Radial artery perforation is a rare complication (<1%) and often leads to forearm hematoma [2]. Conservative management including neutralization of heparin, crossing with a wire, and deployment of either a long sheath or guide catheter across and external compression by sphygmomanometer cuff may help in sealing the perforation [3]. In some rare cases, in case of persistance of the perforation, balloon angioplasty or use of covered stent has been described [4]. This case is unusual in that the perforation did not seal conservatively at first together with the heavy thrombus burden that was managed by thrombus aspiration, which is rarely used in the management of such cases.

Conclusion

Despite the very low complication rate of radial approach, the interventional cardiologist should be aware of any possible complication, and how to avoid or, eventually, manage it [5].

Abbreviations

PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI: ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; APTT: Activated partial thromboplastin time

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

ME was the cooperator and drafted the manuscript. GD was in charge of the patient in the ICU. RL revised the manuscript. BC was the primary operator. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors did not receive any funds.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The case report did not require ethics committee approval as no new treatment modality was used or drug was tested. In addition, no patient's data information was revealed.

Consent for publication

Verbal consent was obtained as the patient was right-handed and the procedure was done via right radial approach. The consent was an informed consent, and the patient agreed based on the fact that this case report will help other patients. I consent on behalf of the other authors to publish this case report.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Author details

¹Interventional Cardiology, ASST Fatebenefratelli-Sacco, Fatebenefratelli Hospital, Corso di Porta Nuova, 23, 20121 Milan, Italy. ²Faculty of Medicine, University of Alexandria, Alexandria, Egypt. ³Interventional Cardiology, San Carlo Clinic, Milano, Italy. ⁴Fondazione G. Monasterio CNR-Regione Toscana, Pisa, Massa, Italy.

Received: 22 May 2019 Accepted: 31 July 2019 Published online: 11 September 2019

References

- 1. Gunasekaran S, Cherukupalli R (2009) Radial artery perforation and its management during PCI. J Invasive Cardiol. 21(2):E24–E26
- Buturak A, Demirci Y, Dağdelen S (2013) Management of an iatrogenic radial artery perforation: a case report. Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars. 41(4):332–335
- Sallam MM, Ali M, Al-Sekaiti R (2011) Management of radial artery perforation complicating coronary intervention: a stepwise approach. J Interv Cardiol 24(5):401–406 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd
- Chatterjee A, White JS, Leesar MA (2017) Management of radial artery perforation during transradial catheterization using a polytetrafluoroethylene-covered coronary stent. Cardiovasc Revasc Med. 18(2):133–135
- Kanei Y, Kwan T, Nakra NC, Liou M, Huang Y, Vales LL et al (2011) Transradial cardiac catheterization: a review of access site complications. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions. 78(6):840–846

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[●] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at **>** springeropen.com